

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,015	11/26/2003	Ronald W. Wortley	11984.5 1784		
T590 10/07/2004 KIRTON & McCONKIE 1800 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 48111			EXAMINER		
			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	γ\-		
Office Action Summary		10/723,01	5	WORTLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Cassandra		3611			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	\$ 		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. ays, a reply within the state ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tir ntory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,13 and 15-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers		<u>.</u>				
9)[The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.					
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Noti	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 12 be found allowable, claim 14 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line the phrase "the hose" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 3, line 2, the phrase 'the identification plate' lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 9, line 5, the phrase "the clamp" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 10, line 4, it is unclear if the "hose clamp" is the same as the hose clamp recited on line 1.

In claim 10, lines 4-5, the phrase "the hose" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 11, line 5, it is unclear if the "hose clamp" is the same as the hose clamp recited on line 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,015

Art Unit: 3611

In claim 11, line 6, the phrase "the hose" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 15, line 5, it is unclear if the "hose clamp" is the same as the hose clamp recited on line 1.

In claim 15, line 6, the phrase "the hose" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 16, line 3, it is unclear if the "hose clamp" is the same as the hose clamp recited on line 1.

In claim 16, line 4, it is unclear if the "identification device" is the same as the identification device recited on line 1.

In claim 17, line 5, it is unclear if the "hose clamp" is the same as the hose clamp recited on line 2.

In claim 18, line 2, it is unclear if the "hose" is the same as the hose recited on line 1.

In claim 18, line 5, the phrase "the hose 30" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 19, line 2, it is unclear if the "hose" is the same as the hose recited on line 1.

In claim 23, line 2, it is unclear if the "hose" is the same as the hose recited on line 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 13, 14-23, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schweikert, US 2003/0066218.

With respect to claims 1, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, and 24, Schweikert teaches catheter information ring 110 comprising an identification member 124, and a cross member 112 attached to the identification member, wherein the cross member transverses a hose clamp 170 to retain the identification member 124 adjacent the clamp independently of the hose on which the hose clamp is located.

With respect to claim 2, the identification member is in the form of a plate. (See figures 1-12).

With respect to claim 3, Schweikert also teaches a stabilizing plate 130 attached to the cross member 112 so the stabilizing plate is substantially parallel the identification plate.

With respect to claims 4, 25, and 21, the stabilizing plate taught by Schweikert is removable retained substantially adjacent the clamp. The information ring is adapted to selectively inserted and removed from the clamp both independently of the clamp in one embodiment and independently of the hose or conduit in another embodiment.

With respect to claim 6, the identification device substantially matches the contours of the hose clamp so no portions of the identification device substantially protrude beyond the hose clamp. (See paragraph 0042).

With respect to claim 7, the identification device is secured adjacent the hose clamp to reduce or prevent movement of the identification device independent of the

hose clamp The information ring is adapted to have the hose or conduit extend through opening 122.

With respect to claim 20, the opening 22 allow the information ring to engage the hose or conduit.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5 and 24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 12 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,015

Art Unit: 3611

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD September 30, 2004